

Constructors and destructors

- The *creation* and the *destruction* of the objects represent an important operation in order to realize for *safety* and *stable* programs.
- The standard C++ language uses the Stroustrup solution:
 - *Constructors are named by the class name* where they belong,
 - *Destructors are named by the class name preceded by the character ‘~’*.
- Another particularity of C++: if a class *does not contain* in its declaration *constructors and/or destructors*, some of these functions are *automate generated* by the compiler.
- Constructors and destructors *do not return values*, not even of the `void` type, which made them *special functions* by comparing with the others functions.

A. Constructors

- The creation of an object has two distinct parts:
 - **Allocation by the compiler of an uninitialized memory block** having an appropriate size (operation transparent to the programmer),
 - **Calling of a constructor** of the respective class.

Example. The class *time* allows the determination of a time interval passed from an initial date of the form *hour-minute-second*, to the current date, considering the time measured in seconds.

```
class time {
    int hour, minute, second;
    double t;
    static int hour_0, minute_0, second_0;
    void SetTime() {
        t = 3600 * (hour - hour_0) +
          60 * (minute - minute_0) + second - second_0;
    }
public:
```

```
time (int Hour=0, int Minute=0, int Second=0) {
    hour = Hour;
    minute = Minute;
    second = Second;
}
double GetTime() {
    SetTime();
    return t;
}
};
// ...
int time::hour_0 = 0;
int time::minute_0 = 0;
int time::second_0 = 0;
void Problem() {
    time m1(7, 3, 24);
    time m2(20, 4, 12);
    cout << "t1= " << m1.GetTimp() << endl;
    cout << "t2= " << m2.GetTimp() << endl;
}
```

- In this example there *are two implicit calls* of the constructor of the class *time*. The compiler *inserted* in the place of the two definitions a sequence similar to:

```
m1.time(7, 3, 24);  
m2.time(20, 4, 12);
```

- The *role* of a constructor is to *initialize* certain data members of the object.
 - To perform this action, the *memory address* of allocated zone for the object *is passed to the constructor* by using the *hidden parameter this* (in fact there are 4 parameters passed to the constructor):

```
m1.time(&m1, 7, 3, 24);  
m2.time(&m2, 20, 4, 12);
```

- The moment when *constructors* and *destructors* are called depends on the type of the memory allocation, and on the places on the program where objects are defined:
 - a) *for allocation in the static data zone*:
 - for the *external objects* defined outside any function of a program (the life cycle of the object is the same as the life cycle of the program):

- *the constructor* is called *before* the execution of the function **main**,
 - *the destructor* is called *after* the finish of the function **main**;
- for *static local objects*:
 - *the constructor* is called at *the first declaration* of the object,
 - *the destructor* is called *after the finish of the function main*;
- b) *for allocation in the stack zone* of the program, in the case of *local objects* defined inside the blocks (the life cycle of such an object represents the time when the block is active on the stack):
- *the constructor* is called when the *program execution reaches* the respective *object definition*,
 - *the destructor* is called *after leaving* the current block;
- c) *for allocation in the heap zone* of the program, in the case of *dynamic objects*, *created* by using the **new** operator, and *deleted* by using the **delete** operator (the lifetime of such an object corresponds to the time between the consecutive call of the pair operators **new** and **delete**, related to the same pointer):
- *the constructor* is called when the operator **new** is called,
 - *the destructor* called when the operator **delete** is called.

- In the *first two cases* the constructors and destructors are *automatically* called by the compiler, while in the *last case* they are *implicitly* called with the help of operators **new** and **delete**.
- Types of constructors:
 - *general constructors,*
 - *default constructors,*
 - *copy constructors,*
 - *conversion constructors.*
- Usually a class may have *more than one* different constructor, which allows the creation the *state* of objects.

General constructors

- Are constructors that have *at least one argument*, which is *not a reference* at the respective class type (the argument values are used for initialization of the data members of the created object)
- Denoting with X the current class name and with $T1, T2, \dots$, etc., the data types of the arguments, the declaration of general constructor has the following form:

```
X(T1, T2, /*...*/);
```

- These constructors can have parameters with *default* values. In this case the default values must be specified in the *class definition* and not in the implementation part.
- The constructor of the class *time* is an example of parameter with implicit values for parameters. The next definition creates three objects of *time* type:

```
time o1(7, 3, 2);  
time o2(7, 3);  
time o3;
```

Default constructors

- *Default constructors do not have arguments*, having the following form:

```
X(void);
```

Example:

```
class time {
    // ...
public:
    time();
    // ...
};

time::time() {
    cout << "Fill in with values for hour, minute, second: ";
    cin >> hour >> min >> sec;
}

void processing() {
    // ...
    time t;
    // ...
}
```


- *Default constructors* are the *only constructors* that can be *automatically* generated by the compiler in the case when a class *does not have any constructor*

Remarks:

1. The class constructors can be *overloaded*.
 2. A *general constructor* with *all arguments having default values it is not an implicit constructor*.
 3. The compiler *does not generate a default constructor* for a class that *has at least one other constructor*.
- Because a *default constructor* and a *general* one with *default values for all parameters* are called with the *same syntax*, they do not have to be defined *together* in the same class.

Example. The next sequence contains an error related to the definition of the constructors:

```
class time {
    // ...
public:
    time(int h = 0, int m = 0, int s = 0);
    time();
    // ...
};
```

because the next definition is not clear:

```
time t;
```

- A special attention is imposed for the classes *having no constructors* (not even one), because the default generated constructor by the compiler do *not perform* any *member initialization*.

Example. The next sequence has an error, because the `s` data member is not initialized at the creation of the *String* class objects.

```
#define MaxString 100
class String {
    char s[MaxString + 1];
public:
    void set(const char str[]);
    const char* get() { return s; }
};
// ...

int main() {
    String s1;    // 's' it is not initialized
    // memory access error!!
    cout << s1.get() << endl;
    // ...
}
```

A correct variant of the precedent sequence is writing a default constructor, which creates an empty string:

```
#define MaxString 100
class String {
    char s[MaxString + 1];
public:
    String() { s[0] = '\0'; }
    void set(const char str[]);
    const char* get();
};
```

- Another used utilization of the default constructors refers the *initialization of the array of objects*. If the array is not explicit initialized, for each component of the array the default constructor of the respective class is automatic called by the compiler.

Example. The next program:

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
unsigned int n = 0;
class A {
public:
    A() { cout << "Constructor for A object" << ++n << endl;
        }
};
A v[7];
int main() { return 0; }
```

generates the following output:

```
Constructor for A1 object
Constructor for A2 object
Constructor for A3 object
Constructor for A4 object
Constructor for A5 object
Constructor for A6 object
Constructor for A7 object
```

Copy-constructors

- An object can be *initialized* with the *values* of another *created object*.

Example. Adding a copy-constructor to the class *time*:

```
class time {
    // ...
public:
    time(const time& t) {
        hour = t.hour;
        min = t.min;
        sec = t.sec;
    }
    // ...
};

time t(1, 0, 0), t1 = t;
```

Remarks:

1. Always, the *first argument* of a *copy-constructor* must be a *reference to an object of the current class*, or a *reference* to a *constant object of the current class*.
2. If a copy-constructor has in addition *other parameters*, *all these parameters* must have *default values*; *otherwise* we have a *general constructor*. This restriction is due to the syntax of the call of a copy-constructor:

```
<class> <object1> = <object2> ;
```

Example.

```
class X {  
    // ...  
    int a;  
public:  
    X(){ a = 0; }  
    X(X& x, int k = 0) {  
        a = x.a;  
        // ...  
    }  
    // ...  
};
```

```
// ...  
X x1;  
X x2 = x1;  
X x3(x2, 5);
```

In the case of the following definition:

```
X(X& x, int k);
```

the above constructor is no longer a copy-constructor, and the following expression is incorrect:

```
X x2 = x1;
```

- In the case when into a class declaration it *is not specified* any copy-constructor, the compiler will *automatically generate* such a constructor (not as *default constructors*).
 - A copy-constructor generated by a compiler, usually, will do a *member by member copy* of the data members of the object.
- There are cases when a copy-constructor, implicitly generated by the compiler it is not sufficient for a correct initializing of the current object, especially in the cases when the member data are *pointers*, or *objects* of other classes.

Example: The class *list* implements a simple single linked list, and the class *node* implements the structure of the elements of the list.

```
struct node {
    int val;
    node* next;
    node() {val = 0; next = 0;}
    node(int v, node* n = 0) {val = v; next = n; }
    // copy-constructor implicitly generated
    ~node(){ next = 0; }
    // adds a node after the current node
    void Add (int);
    void Print() const { cout << val << endl; }
    // ...
};

struct list {
    node* first;
    void Copy(list& l);
    void Delete();
    list() { first = 0; }
    list(list&);
    ~list();
};
```

```

list& operator=(list&);
node* Last() const;
// adds an element at the end of the list
void Add(int);
void Print() const;
// ...
};

void node::Add(int k) {
    node* p = new node(k);
    next = p;
};

void list::Copy (list& l) {
    node* p = new node(l.first->val);
    first = p;
    for (node*q=p->next; p; p=p->next)
        Last()->Add(q->val);
}

node* list::Last() const {
    node* p;
    for(p=first; p->next; p=p->next);
    return p;
}

```

```
void list::Add(int k) {
    if (first)
        Last()->Add(k);
    else {
        node *p = new node(k);
        first = p;
    }
}

void list::Print() const {
    for (node* p=first; p; p=p->next)
        p->Print();
}

void list::Delete() {
    // will be further implemented (to destructors)
}

list::list(list& l) {
    Copy (l);
}

list::~~list() {
    Delete();
    first = 0;
}
```

```
list& list::operator=(list& l) {  
    if(&l != this) {  
        Delete();  
        Copy(l);  
    }  
    return *this;  
}  
// ...
```

- A *copy-constructor* is not called only at *object initialization* with values of other objects, but also in the case of *parameter passing* mechanism when calling functions.
 - In the case of *passing-by-value*, a temporary *copy* of the object which is actual parameter *is created*, which *is then passed* to the corresponding formal parameter in the called function.
 - When the called function *returns* to the calling function by using the **return** statement, the value that represents the returned object is passed back to the calling function by returning a *copy* of that object.

Example: A function which creates a new list formed from the first and the last element of an existent list.

```
list FirstLast (list l) {  
    list l1;  
    l1.Add(l.first->val);  
    l1.Add(l.Last()->val);  
    return l1;  
}
```

```
void Processing() {  
    list l1, l2;  
    l1.Add(3);  
    l1.Add(7);  
    l2 = FirstLast(l1);  
    // ...  
}
```

- When the function *FirstLast* is called, the copy-constructor for the *l* parameter is called, which has as parameter a reference of the *l2* object. This temporary object will be destroyed after the exit from the *FirstLast* function.
- The statement **return** has the following effect: the automatic creation of an additional object of the type *list* by copying the object *l1*. This new created object represents the object which will be returned to the *Processing* function and which is taken by the assignment operator.

Conversion constructors

- A **conversion constructor** is usually a constructor with *only one argument* (as the copy-constructor), but its type is different to the current class. In the case when exists *more parameters*, these parameters must be all with *default values*.
- A constructor is considered as *general*, either it has all parameters with default values, or it has at least two parameters with no default values.
- The conversion constructors are frequently used by the compiler for doing the *default conversion of data types*.

Example. A conversion constructor for the class *String*:

```
#include <string>
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
#define MaxString 100
```

```
class String {
    char s[MaxString + 1];
public:
    String() { s[0] = '\0'; }
    String(const char str[])
        { strcpy(s, str); }
    void set(const char str[]);
    const char* get() { return s; }
};
// ...

void f(String s) { cout<<s.get()<<endl; }

int main() {
    String s1;
    f(s1);    // copy constructor
    f("abc"); // conversion constructor
    // ...
}
```


- In *Python*, the special function `__init__` can be overloaded for each class
 - It has the same meaning as a *constructor* from C++
 - There is a single `__init__` function for each class
 - If a class does not contain a `__init__` function, it is inherited from the root **object** class
 - The goal of the `__init__` function is to initialize the instance variables
 - The first parameter related to the instance reference (usually **self**) is mandatory

B. Destructors

- ❑ The *destructors* are used to *free* the *additional memory* zones occupied by the members of certain objects, before freeing the memory for the respective object. As in case of constructors, the *de-allocation of the memory* of an object *does not represent an action of the destructor*.
- ❑ The destructor is used usually in the case when objects use *dynamic allocation* for certain data members of them.
- ❑ In the case when a class *does not contain* an explicit definition of a destructor, the compiler *will implicitly generate* a destructor for it.
- ❑ The destructors, unlike constructors:
 - cannot have arguments;
 - in addition, the destructors cannot be overloaded; each class must have exactly one destructor.

Example:

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
class X {
    int k;
public:
    X(int i) {
        k = i;
        cout << "x() for " << k << endl;
    }
    ~X() { cout << "~x() for " << k << endl; }
};

X ob1(5);

void f() {
    cout << "starts the function f" << endl;
    static X ob2(7);
    X ob3(9);
    cout << "finishes the function f" << endl;
}
```

```
int main() {
    cout << "starts the main function" << endl;
    X ob4(11);
    f();
    cout << "finishes the main function" << endl;
    return 0;
}
```

The program execution generates the following output:

```
x() for 5
starts the main function
x() for 11
starts the function f
x() for 7
x() for 9
finishes the function f
~x() for 9
finishes the main function
~x() for 11
~x() for 7
~x() for 5
```

- In the case when there are several elements to be destroyed, the destructors are called in *reverse order* as for constructors.
- In the next example one can observe the *call of constructors and destructors* in the case of *pass-by-value* of the objects as arguments in the function call.

Example: A class which counters its object instances.

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
class Contor {
    char c;
    static int contor;
public:
    void Print() {
        cout << "object " << c << " contor " << contor << endl;
    }
    Contor(const char& ch) {
        c = ch;
        ++contor;
        cout << "Conversion constructor: ";
        Print();
    }
}
```

```

Contor(const Contor& h) {
    c = h.c;
    ++contor;
    cout << "Copy-constructor: ";
    Print();
}
~Contor() {
    --contor;
    cout << "Destructor: ";
    Print();
}
};

int Contor::contor = 0;

Contor f(Contor x) {
    cout << "Starts the f function" << endl;
    cout << "Finishes the f function" << endl;
    return x;
}

int main() {
    Contor o1('a');
    cout << "Before f with return value" << endl;
    Contor o2 = f(o1);
}

```

```
    cout << "After f" << endl;
    cout << "Before f without return value" << endl;
    f(o1);
    cout << "After f without return value" << endl;
    return 0;
}
```

Program output:

```
Conversion constructor: contor 1 object
Before f call with return value
Copy-constructor: contor 2 object
f function starts
f function finishes
Copy-constructor: contor 3 object
Destructor: contor 2 object
After f with return value
Before f without return value
Copy-constructor: contor 3 object
f function starts
f function finishes
Copy-constructor : contor 4 object
Destructor : contor 3 object
```

Destructor : contor 2 object
After f without return value
Destructor : contor 1 object
Destructor : contor 0 object

Remarks:

1. The *initialization* of the parameter of the function f is made by the *copy-constructor*. The parameter x becomes a *temporary object* which is local into the function f , and it will be *destroyed* when f *finishes* and it returns to the function *main*.
2. When the expression from the statement **return** is evaluated, the *second temporary object* is generated by using also the *copy-constructor*.
3. In the case when the *function returns a value*, this object *is not destroyed*, because it represents the value of the variable $o2$ from the function *main*.
4. In the case when the *function does not return a value*, this *object is destroyed after the finishing of the function f* , and before the returning to the function *main* (at the second call of f , two destructors are successively called, one for the temporary object, and another for the returned value).

- In the case of *using pointers*, the *constructors* and *destructors* must be *explicitly called* with the help of **new** and **delete** operators.

Remarks:

1. Even if a *pointer exits* from his scope, if the **delete** operator *is not called*, the associated object to the pointer *will not be destroyed* (the destructor is not called by default).
2. If at *the end of the program execution* there are objects allocated in the *heap* zone, the compiler *forces* the destructor call for these objects after the exit from the **main** function.

Example: The destructor for list class from the previous example:

```
struct list {  
    node* first;  
    void Copy (list& l);  
    void Delete();  
    list() { first = 0; }  
    list(list&);  
    ~list();  
};
```

```
    // ...
};

void list::Delete() {
    for(node* p=first; p ; ) {
        node*q = p->next;
        delete p;
        p = q;
    }
}

list::~~list() {
    Delete();
    first = 0;
}

void Processing() {
    list* l1 = new list;
    l1->Add(3);
    l1->Add(7);
    l1->Print() ;
    // ...
    delete l1;
    // ...
}
```

- In *Python*, *destructors* are needed much less than in C++
 - Python has a *garbage collector* that handles memory management
- However, memory is not the only *resource* used by class instances:
 - There are also *sockets*, *database connections*, *files*, *buffers*, etc.
 - These resources need to be *released* when an object is destructed
- In *Python*, the special function `__del__` can be overloaded for each class
 - It has the same meaning as a *destructor* from C++
 - There is a single `__del__` function for each class
 - If a class does not contain a `__del__` function, it is inherited from the root *object* class
 - The goal of the `__del__` function is to *release* resources used by an object (other than memory allocation)
 - The function `__del__` is called when the counter of the references to an object becomes zero

□ A simple example:

```
class C(object):
    def __init__(self, x_):
        self.x = x_
        print (self.x, 'born')
    def __del__(self):
        print (self.x, 'died')

ob = C(5)
```

prints:

```
5 born
5 died
```

□ However, there is a problem with the *garbage-collector*, called *circular references*:

- Python does not know the *order* in which to destroy objects that hold circular references to each other
- As a consequence, it *does not call* the destructors for such methods

□ An example of circular references:

```
class A:
    def __init__(self, x_, b_):
        self.x = x_
        self.b = b_
        print('A', self.x, 'born')
    def __del__(self):
        print ('A', self.x, 'died')
```

```
class B:
    def __init__(self, y_):
        self.y = y_
        self.a = A(y_, self)
        print('B', self.y, 'born')
    def __del__(self):
        print('B', self.y, 'died')
```

```
ob = B(5)
```

prints:

```
A 5 born
```

```
B 5 born
```

- Between the objects of the classes **A** and **B** there are *circular references*
 - Destructors `__del__` are not called
- *Python* provides the **weakref** module that can solve this problem: *weak references*
- From the **weakref** documentation:
 - A *weak reference* to an object *is not enough to keep the object alive*:
 - when the only *remaining references* to a referent are *weak references*
 - garbage collection *is free to destroy the referent and reuse its memory* for something else
- The previous example written using weak references:

```
import weakref
class A:
    def __init__(self, x_, b_):
        self.x = x_
        self.b = weakref.ref(b_)
        print('A', self.x, 'born')
    def __del__(self):
        print ('A', self.x, 'died')
class B:
    def __init__(self, y_):
        self.y = y_
        self.a = A(y_, self)
        print('B', self.y, 'born')
    def __del__(self):
        print('B', self.y, 'died')
ob = B(5)
```

The above sequence will print:

```
A 5 born
B 5 born
B 5 died
A 5 died
```

□ Some examples related to the reference counter:

```
class C:
    pass

a = C()          # Creates an object (refcount = 1)
b = a           # Increases refcount on the object (2)
c = []
c.append(b)     # Increases refcount on the object (3)
del a           # Decrease refcount on the object (2)
b = 7           # Decrease refcount on the object (1)
c[0] = 5        # Decrease refcount on the object (0)
                # Object will be destroyed
```


C. Modern features in C++ related to constructors and destructors

C1. Non static data members initialization

- Starting to **C++11**, *non static data members* of a class *can be initialized inside of the class*, as in the case of **static const** members
- In this case, the *constructors* of the class can:
 - *Inherit* the initialized values, or
 - *Override* these values
- Advantages:
 - Easier to write
 - Can perform a uniform initialization of objects
 - Are useful when a class has several constructors

Example:

```
class X {
    int min{5};
    int max{10};
public:
    X(int a, int b) : min(a), max(b) {}
    X() {}
    void print() const {cout << min << " " << max << endl;}
};
int main() {
    X x1, x2(4, 9);
    x1.print();           // 5 10
    x2.print();           // 4 9
    return 0;
}
```

C2. Move semantics and rvalue reference

- In the traditional C++, a *lvalue reference* is bind to another *lvalue*:

```
int n = 5;           // OK: initialization
int &m = n;          // OK: binding an lvalue reference
// ERROR! An lvalue reference cannot be bound to a rvalue
int &k = 10;
```

- However, a *const lvalue reference* can be bound to a *rvalue*:

```
const int &k = 10;   // OK
```

- **C++11** introduces *rvalue references* which bind only to rvalues:

```
int&& v = 99;       // OK: v is a rvalue reference
```

- If **T** is a type, **T&&** represents the *rvalue references* to the values of **T**

□ **Example** of two overladed functions:

```
void print (int& n) { cout << n << endl; }
void print (int&& n) { cout << n << endl; }

int value () {
    int tmp = 77;
    return tmp;
}

int main() {
    int i = 7;
    f(i);          // 7: lvalue reference is called
    f(value());   // 77: rvalue reference is called
    return 0;
}
```

□ However, the standard library contains a function, **move ()**, which takes an *lvalue* and converts it into an *rvalue*:

```
f(move(i));    // OK: rvalue reference is called
```

- **Remark.** **T&&** represents in fact *temporary objects* that are permitted to be *modified after they are initialized*:
 - The *rvalue reference* allows bind a *mutable reference* to an *rvalue*, but not an *lvalue*
 - *rvalue references* can *detect* if a value is a *temporary* object or *not*
- The above remark represents the *main concept* of *move semantics*
- In the classical C++, in a program, a lot of deep object copies can be created when objects are passed by value
 - This *degradation of performance* can be *avoided* by using a *rvalue reference*
- The main usage of *rvalue references* is to create *move constructors* and *move assignment operators*
- A *move constructor* is similar to a *copy constructor*:
 - It takes an instance of an object as its argument and creates a new instance from original object.

- However, the move constructor will *avoid memory reallocation* because it knows that a *temporary object is provided*:
 - Instead of *copy* the fields of the *original object*, it will *move* them to the *new instance*
 - The *rvalue references* and *move semantics* allow to avoid *unnecessary copies* when working with *temporary objects*

□ **Example:**

```
#include <iostream>
#include <algorithm>
#include <vector>

class A {
    int len;
    int* data;
public:
    A(int l) : len(l), data(new int[l]) {
        cout << "A: length = " << len << endl;
    }
}
```

```

~A() {
    cout << "~A(): length = " << len << endl;
    if (data != nullptr) {
        cout << " Deleting resource\n";
        delete[] data;
    }
}
// Copy constructor.
A(const A& o) : len(o.len), data(new int[o.len]) {
    cout << "A(const A&): length = " << o.len << endl;
    copy(o.data, o.data + len, data);
}
// Move constructor.
A(A&& o) : data(nullptr), len(0) {
    cout << "A(A&&): length = " << o.len << endl;
    data = o.data;
    len = o.len;
    // Release the data pointer from the source object
    // the destructor does not free the memory multiple times
    other.data = nullptr;
    other.len = 0;
}
};

```

```

int main() {
    vector<A> v;
    v.push_back(A(25)); // move constructor
    A a(55);           // conversion constructor
    A b = a;           // copy constructor
    return 0;
}

```

- Since C++11, STL functions such as `push_back()` now define *two overloaded versions*: one that takes `const T&` for *lvalue arguments* as before, and a new one that takes a parameter of type `T&&` for *rvalue arguments*
- The *move constructor*:
 - does *not allocate any new resources*
 - the *content is moved not copied*
- The *move constructor* is much *faster* than a *copy constructor* because it does *not allocate memory* nor does it *copy memory blocks*
- **Remark:** as a result of *moving resources* from the *initial object* to the *new object*, the *initial object will disappear*

C3. Explicitly defaulted and deleted functions

- In C++, the compiler *automatically generates* the *default constructor*, *copy constructor*, *copy-assignment operator*, and *destructor* for a user-defined class if they *are not explicitly declared*
- However, *not* all these special functions are *all time automatically generated*:
 - If *any constructor is explicitly declared*, then *no default constructor is automatically generated*
 - If a *move constructor or move-assignment operator is explicitly declared*, then:
 - *No copy constructor is automatically generated*
 - *No copy-assignment operator is automatically generated*
 - If a *copy constructor, copy-assignment operator, move constructor, move-assignment operator, or destructor is explicitly declared*, then:
 - *No move constructor is automatically generated*
 - *No move-assignment operator is automatically generated*
 - If a *virtual destructor is explicitly* declared, then *no default destructor is automatically generated*

- As a consequence, if these special functions are not properly declared, objects from a class hierarchy cannot be properly constructed
- For example:
 - If a *base* class **A** does not have a *public or protected default constructor*, then a class **B** *derived* from **A** *cannot automatically generate its own default constructor*
- In *C++11*, *explicitly defaulted functions* make the compiler to generate these special functions, *even if the above rules are accomplished*
- The *syntax* for a defaulted special function has only the declarator of the function followed by the construction **=default**

Example.

```
class A {
    int n;
public:
    A(int a): n(a) {
        cout << "A: Conversion constructor\n";
    }
}
```

```
// the compiler will create the default constructor
A() = default;
void print() { cout << "n = " << n << endl; }
};

class B {
    int m;
public:
    B(int a): m(a) {
        cout << "B: Conversion constructor\n";
    }
    // user-defined default constructor
    B() {}
    void print() { cout << "m = " << m << endl; }
};

int main() {
    // call the defaulted constructor
    A* p = new A();
    p->print();    // n = 0
    // call the conversion constructor
    A a(1);
}
```

```
a.print();    // n = 1
// call the user-defined default constructor
B* q = new B();
q->print();   // m = -84631749
// call the conversion constructor
B b(2);
b.print();   // m = 2
return 0;
}
```

- **Remark.** In the case of *non-user-defined default constructor*, a *special kind of initialization* will take place, and for *built-in types* this will result in *zero-initialization*
- Except to the case when a *virtual destructor* is defined in a class (and a *default destructor will be not created* by the compiler), another case when a *defaulted destructor* is useful is related to the *move semantics*

Example

```
class X {
public:
    ~X() { /* do something */ }
    // ...
};
```

- The above class will *lose its move operations*, because the *move constructor* and *the move assignment operator* will *not be generated* by the compiler
 - The code will continue to compile, but will *silently* it will call *copy operations* instead of *move operations*
- In order to *not inhibit* the generation of *default move constructors and move assignment operators*, a *defaulted destructor* can be used:

```
class X {
public:
    ~X() = default
    // ...
};
```

- **C++11** introduced another use of the operator **delete**:
 - To *disable* the *usage of a function*
 - This is done by appending the **=delete**; specifier to the end of the function declaration
- *Special functions*, as well as as *normal member functions* and *non-member functions* can be *deleted* to *prevent them from being defined or called*
- *Deleting* of *special member functions* provides a *cleaner way* of preventing the compiler *to not generate these special member functions* if not desired

Example:

```
class X {
    int n;
public:
    A(int k): n(k) {}
    // Delete (disable) the copy constructor
    A(const A&) = delete;
};
```

```
int main() {
    A a1(1);    // OK
    // Error! The usage of the
    // copy constructor is disabled
    A a2 = a1;
    return 0;
}
```

- **Remark.** A *deleted special member function* is implicitly *inline*
- *Deleting* of *normal member function* or *non-member functions* prevents *problematic type promotions* from causing an *unintended function to be called*
- **Remark.** `=delete` is a *function definition* (it does *not remove or hide the declaration*)
 - As a consequence, *deleted functions* still *participate in overload resolution* any other function
 - Attempts to use a *deleted function* result is a *compile time error*

Example. *Deleted a overloaded function prevents its call* through *type promotion* of *int* to *double*

```
#include <cmath>
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
void f(int) =delete;
void f(double x) {
    cout << sqrt(x) << endl;
}
int main() {
    f(4);    // compiler error
    f(4.0); // OK
}
```

□ However, if we add the following code, the result is OK because of *promotion* from *float* to *double*:

```
float x = 4.0;
f(x);    // OK
```


- To ensure that *no promotion will be performed*, one can define a *template function* that is *deleted*:

```
#include <cmath>
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

template <typename T>
void f(T) =delete;

void f(double x) {
    cout << sqrt(x) << endl;
}

int main() {
    int n = 4;
    float x = 4.0;
    double y = 4.0;
    f(n);    // compiler error
    f(x);    // compiler error
    f(y);    // OK
}
```

C4. Overlapping and delegating constructors

- Because a class can have several constructor, in many cases some constructors have overlapping functionality

Example.

```
class X {
    int n, m, p;
public:
    X() {
        n = m = 0;    // redundant actions
        p = 0;
    }
    X(int a) {
        n = m = 0;    // redundant actions
        p = 10;
    }
    // ...
};
```

- A variant to reduce the redundant actions is to write a distinct initialization function and to call it in the constructors.

```
class X {
    int n, m, p;
public:
    void init() {
        n = m = 0;
    }
    X() {
        init();
        p = 0;
    }
    X(int a) {
        init();
        p = 10;
    }
    // ...
};
```

- With the *delegating constructors* feature, *common initializations* can be concentrated in one constructor named *target constructor*
- *Delegating constructors* can call the *target constructor* to do the *initialization*

Example:

```
class X {
    int n, m, p;
public:
    // target constructor
    X() {
        n = m = 0;
        p = 0;
    }
    // delegating constructor
    X(int a) : X() {
        p = 10;
    }
    // ...
};
```

□ A *delegating constructor* can also be used as the *target constructor* of *one or more delegating constructors*

○ This feature can be used to make programs more readable and maintainable

```
class Complex {
    int re, im;
public:
    Complex() : Complex(0) {}
    Complex (int x) : Complex (x, 0) {}
    Complex (int x, int y) : re(x), im(y) {}
};
```

C5. constexpr constructors

- Constructors can also be qualified as *constexpr* to indicate that *object construction* can be performed at *compile time*, provided that *all arguments* to constructor are *constant expressions*
- In addition, *constexpr constructors* are implicitly *inline*
- If an *object* of a class has to be constructed at *compile time*, its *constructors* have to be *constexpr functions* (and eventually its member functions)

Example

```
class Circle {
    int x, y, r;
public:
    constexpr Circle (int a, int b, int c) :
        x(a), y(b), r(c) {}
    constexpr double getArea () {
        return r * r * 3.1415926;
    }
};
```

```
int () {  
    constexpr Circle c(0, 0, 10);  
    constexpr double area = c.getArea();  
    cout << area << endl;  
    return 0;  
}
```